	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/595,118	SIMONEK, MIROSLAV
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHAIM SMITH	1782
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>CHAIM SMITH</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dana Stangel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 January 2011	Time: <u>16:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 2		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  An examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance was agreed to. Claims 1 and 2 were cancelled and new claims 3 and 4 were entered by examiners amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782	Amiliant/Amiliant's Passagestat	iva Signatura - if appropriate)
	Applicant/Applicant's Representat:	ive Signature – ii appropriate)